



# Avoiding Legal Issues in Professional and Technical Programs

---

**Scott Goings, General Counsel**

**Office of General Counsel**

*January 16, 2025*

# Professional and Technical Programs

## » Characteristics

- Curriculum may include professional practice, norms, standards, and ethics.
- Experiential Learning – Clinicals, internships, etc.
- Individual programs handbooks, rules.
- Demanding.
- Prospect of employment.

# Outline of Today's Webinar

- » Due Process
- » Educational Malpractice and Avoiding Contract Claims
- » Avoiding Discrimination Claims
- » Social Media and the First Amendment

# Due Process

- » “No State shall deprive any person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law.” U.S. Constitution, Amend. 14
- » *Goss v. Lopez*, 95 S.Ct. 729 (1975) (once student is admitted, student has a protected liberty or property interest in continuing in attendance at a public college/university).

# Basic Due Process

- » Government may not deprive a person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law.
  - Notice
  - Opportunity to be heard
  - Flexible concept – balance seriousness of the deprivation with the cost/benefit of particular processes

# Due Process: Balancing Interests

## » College's Interests

- Maintain order and a safe learning environment
- Uphold high academic standards

## » Student's Interests

- Be excluded only when truly deserved
- Avoiding unfairness and mistakes

# Due Process

- » Substantive
  - Standards that are not arbitrary or capricious
- » Procedural
  - Adequate notice of charge or standard
  - Opportunity to be heard

# Due Process

- » Behavioral – Student Code of Conduct
  - More formal.
- » Academic – Grade Appeal Policy, Academic Integrity Course and Program Rules (Progression Committee).
  - Less formal – deference to academic decisions.



# Behavioral

- » Conduct Code
  - Violent or threatening behavior
  - Failure to cooperate with school officials
  - Drug, alcohol, weapons violations
  - Disorderly/disruptive conduct

# Academic

- » Your paper is poor quality; it gets an “F”.
- » You did not turn in your paper; the loss of points means you fail the class.
- » You exceed the maximum number of excused absences for the clinical; you must retake the class.
- » You recklessly endangered a clinical patient; you fail the class and you may not continue in the program.

# Academic Decisions: Due Process

- » Students: Right to be free from arbitrary and capricious academic determinations.
- » Is decision reasonable?
  - How does consequence relate to curriculum?
  - As a practical matter, are similar standards in place in other programs, at other schools?
- » Courts do not want to get involved in matters of professional judgment.

# Academic Decisions: Procedural Due Process

- » Notice of Standard
- » Hearing is not Required Prior to Sanction
- » Departmental Appeal (Readmission or Progression Committee, etc.) and/or Grade Appeal
  - Reasonable? Arbitrary? Capricious? Illegal?
- » Formal Hearing Elements not Required (No J-Board)

# Professional and Technical Programs

- » Academic or Behavioral?
  - Characteristics
    - Curriculum includes professional norms, standards, ethics
    - Clinicals, Internships
- » Courts generally treat the practical aspects of professional and technical education as academic.

# Professional and Technical Programs

- » LESSON – Distinguish between academic failure and behavioral misconduct
- » LESSON – Reasonable academic sanction resulting from reasonable academic decision

# Educational Malpractice

- » Legal challenge to general quality of an education.
- » Not generally recognized. *Alsides v. Brown Institute*, 592 N.W.2d 468 (Minn. Ct. App. 1999) (rejecting claims that involve an inquiry into the “nuances of educational processes and theories”).
- » Judicial deference given to academic decisions.
- » But: Courts have found colleges and university materials to contain promises to students or to constitute part of a contract with students.

# Where Promises and/or Contracts May Be Found

- » Student Handbooks
- » Catalogs
- » Web Pages
- » Bulletins
- » Admission Materials
- » Syllabi
- » Promotional Materials



# Avoiding Litigation

- » Follow your handbook.
  - Know what is in your printed material and follow it.
- » Listen to student complaints and solve problems as they arise.
- » Publish disclaimer (“These materials are subject to change at any time”).
- » Place particular focus on the start-up of new programs.

# Avoiding Discrimination Claims

- » Direct Evidence
- » Circumstantial Evidence
  - Protected class
  - Adverse action
  - Causal connection between protected class and adverse action
  - If all three of the above, then institution must provide a reasonable non-discriminatory reason for the action
  - Then student may try to prove the reason was a pretext (not the real reason)

# Avoiding Discrimination Claims

- » Pretext
  - Typically, the student tries to show they were **treated differently than similarly situated students**
- » Lesson
  - Try to be **consistent**

# Social Media and the First Amendment

- » Interaction between the free speech rights of students and the reasonable program rules of the institution
- » Scope/jurisdiction of the rules
- » Lesson – focus on the substance of the behavior rather than its format

# Social Media and the First Amendment

- » *Tatro v. University of Minnesota*, 816 N.W.2d 509 (Minn. 2012)
- » *Yoder v. University of Louisville*, 2012 U.S. Dist. Lexis 45264 (U.S. District Ct., W.D. Ky., March 30, 2012).

# *Tatro, Holding*

- » “[W]e hold that a university does not violate the free speech rights of a student enrolled in a professional program when the university imposes sanctions for Facebook posts that violate academic program rules that are narrowly tailored and directly related to established professional conduct standards.”

# United States Supreme Court

*Students for Fair Admissions v. Harvard College.*

*Students for Fair Admissions v. University of North Carolina.*

- » Opinions issued on June 29, 2023 (holding that the Harvard and UNC admissions programs that considered race as a “plus” factor in a holistic process violated the Equal Protection Clause (UNC) and Title VI (Harvard)).
- » Department of Education issued a FAQ document on August 14, 2023. [SFFA Resource FAQ DOJ Seal ED Seal](#).
- » Would also apply to programs with individual admission processes.

# Accommodations (Disability, Pregnant and Parenting, Religious)

- » Work with appropriate campus office (Office for Students with Disabilities, Student Affairs, etc.)
- » Emphasize individualized assessment and engaging in an interactive process.
- » Pregnant and parenting is almost always in relation to how you treat other students who need medical absence or leave.



# Contact Information

» **Scott Goings**

General Counsel

[Scott.Goings@minnstate.edu](mailto:Scott.Goings@minnstate.edu)

651-201-1753

# Thank you.



## MINNESOTA STATE

30 East 7th Street, Suite 350  
St. Paul, MN 55101-7804

651-201-1800  
888-667-2848

[MinnState.edu](https://www.minnstate.edu)

This document is available in alternative formats to individuals with disabilities. To request an alternate format, contact Human Resources at 651-201-1664.  
Individuals with hearing or speech disabilities may contact us via their preferred Telecommunications Relay Service.  
Minnesota State is an affirmative action, equal opportunity employer and educator.